arXiv:1602.02954v1 [math.AP] 9 Feb 2016

Conformal Spectral Stability Estimates for the Neumann Laplacian

V. I. Burenkov, V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov

ABSTRACT

We study the eigenvalue problem for the Neumann-Laplace operator in conformal regular planar domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Conformal regular domains support the Poincaré inequality and this allows us to estimate the variation of the eigenvalues of the Neumann Laplacian upon domain perturbation via energy type integrals. Boundaries of such domains can have any Hausdorff dimension between one and two.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to stability estimates for the eigenvalues of the Neumann Laplacian

$$-\Delta f = -\Big(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}\Big), \ \ (x,y) \in \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

in conformal regular planar domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$.

In [21] was proved (see, also, [22]) that in such domains the embedding operator

$$i_\Omega : W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$$

is compact. Hence in the conformal regular planar domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ the spectrum of the Neumann Laplacian is discrete and can be written in the form of a non-decreasing sequence

$$0 = \lambda_1[\Omega] < \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq ... \leq \lambda_n[\Omega] \leq ...\,,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as its multiplicity.

The spectral stability estimates for the Laplace operator were intensively studied in the last two decades. See, for example, the survey papers [12], [7] where one can found the history of the problem, main results in this area and appropriate references.

Recall that Ω is called a conformal α-regular domain, $\alpha > 2$, [8] if there exists a conformal mapping φ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ onto Ω such that $\varphi' \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$.

A domain Ω is a conformal regular domain if it is a conformal α-regular domain for some $\alpha > 2$.

The notion of conformal α-regular domains (conformal regular domains) does not depends on a choice of a conformal homeomorphism φ [8].

Note that any conformal regular domain has finite geodesic diameter [20] and can be characterized in the terms of the (quasi)hyperbolic boundary condition [6, 22].

The notion of conformal regularity was introduced in [8] for study of the spectral stability of the Dirichlet-Laplace operator. Let, for $2 < \alpha \leq \infty, \tau > 0$, $G_{\alpha,\tau}$ be the set of all conformal mappings φ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\|\varphi' \mid L^\alpha(\mathbb{D})\| \leq \tau\,.$$

[0]**Key words and phrases:** eigenvalue problem, elliptic equations, conformal mappings, quasidiscs.

[0]**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35P15, 35J40, 47A75, 47B25.

Theorem 1.1. [8] *For any $2 < \alpha \leq \infty, \tau > 0$ there exists $B_{\alpha,\tau} > 0$ such that for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in G_{\alpha,\tau}$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ of the Dirichlet-Laplace operator in domains Ω_1 and Ω_2 satisfy the inequality*

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_n[\Omega_1] - \tilde{\lambda}_n[\Omega_2]| \leq c_n B_{\alpha,\tau} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \mid L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})\|,$$

where $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ and $c_n = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_n^2[\Omega_1], \tilde{\lambda}_n^2[\Omega_2]\}$.

Let us remark that the constant $B_{\alpha,\tau}$ depends on conformal geometry of domains Ω_1 and Ω_2 only [8]:

$$B_{\alpha,\tau} = \left[\tilde{C}(\alpha)\right]^2 \left(\|\varphi_1' \mid L^\alpha(\mathbb{D})\| + \|\varphi_2' \mid L^\alpha(\mathbb{D})\|\right),$$

where the constant $\tilde{C}(\alpha)$ is the best constant for corresponding Poincaré-Sobolev inequality in the unit disc.

In the case of the Neumann-Laplace operator we need an additional assumption on domains.

Definition 1.2. Two conformal α-regular domains Ω_1, Ω_2 represent conformal α-regular pair if there exists a conformal mapping $\psi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ such that

$$\iint\limits_{\Omega_1} |(\psi'(z)|^\alpha \, dxdy < \infty \;\&\; \iint\limits_{\Omega_2} |(\psi^{-1})'(w)|^\alpha \, dudv < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

for some $\alpha > 2$.

Two conformal regular domains Ω_1, Ω_2 represent conformal regular pair if they are conformal α-regular pair for some $\alpha > 2$.

Proposition 1.3. *Two conformal α-regular domains $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ represent an conformal α-regular pair if and only if*

$$E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \max\left\{\frac{|\varphi_1'(z)|^\alpha}{|\varphi_2'(z)|^{\alpha-2}}, \frac{|\varphi_2'(z)|^\alpha}{|\varphi_1'(z)|^{\alpha-2}}\right\} \, dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

A property of conformal regular pairs is not very restrictive. For example any two Lipschitz simply connected bounded domains represent a conformal regular pair. Any two quasidiscs (images of discs under quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the plane) also represent a conformal regular pair.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ be a conformal α-regular pair. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq 2c_n \left[C(\alpha)\right]^2 E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\|, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$, and

$$c_n = \max\{\lambda_n^2[\Omega_1], \lambda_n^2[\Omega_2]\} \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1.5. The constant $\tilde{C}(\alpha)$ is the best constant for corresponding weighted Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities in the unit disc.

In Section 5 we consider in more detail the case in which Ω_1 and Ω_2 are quasidiscs.

The estimate for $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]|$ can also be given in terms of the measure variation:

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \le 2c_n \left[C\left(\alpha\right)\right]^2 E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \times$$
$$\times \left(\left[\mathrm{meas}\left(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)\right) - \mathrm{meas}\left(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+)\right)\right] + \left[\mathrm{meas}\left(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)\right) - \mathrm{meas}\left(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-)\right)\right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \left\{z \in \mathbb{D} : J_{\varphi_1}(z) \ge J_{\varphi_2}(z)\right\}, \quad \mathbb{D}^- = \left\{z \in \mathbb{D} : J_{\varphi_1}(z) < J_{\varphi_2}(z)\right\} \tag{1.4}$$

and $J_{\varphi_1}, J_{\varphi_2}$ are the Jacobians of the mappings φ_1, φ_2 respectively.

The inequality (1.2) hold for any φ_1, φ_2 under consideration, but they are non-trivial only if

$$\| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\| < \left(\sqrt{c_n} 2 \left[C\left(\alpha\right)\right]^2 E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\right)^{-1}$$

respectively, because the inequality $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| < \sqrt{c_n}$ obviously holds for any $\lambda_n[\Omega_1], \lambda_n[\Omega_2]$.

In this article we adopt an investigation method based on the theory of composition operators [29, 30]. This method was applied in [8] to the spectral stability problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian and to the eigenvalue problem in [21].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary bounded simply connected planar domain with a smooth boundary. Consider the classic eigenvalue problem for the Neumann Laplacian in Ω

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_w g(w) = \lambda g(w), \ w \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\,, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Delta_w = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}, \ \ w = u + iv\,.$$

By the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ from the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ to Ω. Then, by the chain rule for the function $f(z) = g \circ \varphi(z)$, we have

$$\Delta_z f(z) = \Delta_z(g \circ \varphi(z)) = (\Delta_w g)(\varphi(z)) \cdot |\varphi'(z)|^2$$
$$= -\lambda g(\varphi(z)) \cdot |\varphi'(z)|^2 = -\lambda |\varphi'(z)|^2 f(z).$$

Here $\Omega \ni w = \varphi(z), \ \ z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence we obtain the weighted eigenvalue problem for the Neumann Laplacian in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta f(z) = \lambda h(z) f(z), \ z \in \mathbb{D}\,, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}\big|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = 0\,, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(z) := |\varphi'(z)|^2 = J_\varphi(z) = \frac{\lambda^2_{\mathbb{D}}(z)}{\lambda^2_\Omega(\varphi(z))} \tag{1.5}$$

is the hyperbolic (conformal) weight defined by the conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$. Here $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}$ and λ_Ω are hyperbolic metrics in $\mathbb{D}$ and Ω respectively [5].

That means that the eigenvalue problem in Ω is equivalent to the weighted eigenvalue problem in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$.

In the sequel we consider the weak formulation of the weighted eigenvalue problem for Neumann Laplacian, namely:

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z)\cdot\nabla\overline{g(z)})\,dxdy=\lambda\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h(z)f(z)\overline{g(z)}\,dxdy,\quad \forall g\in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D},h,1). \tag{1.6}$$

The method suggested to study the weighted eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian is based on the theory of composition operators [29, 30] and the "transfer" diagram suggested in [17]. Universal hyperbolic weights for weighted Sobolev inequalities were introduced in [19] (see also [25]).

2. The weighted eigenvalue problem

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}$ be an open set on the complex plane. The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1\le p\le\infty$, is the normed space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite norm given by

$$\|f\mid W^{1,p}(\Omega)\|=\Big(\iint\limits_{\Omega}|f(z)|^p\,dxdy\Big)^{1/p}+\Big(\iint\limits_{\Omega}|\nabla f(z)|^p\,dxdy\Big)^{1/p},\ 1\le p<\infty,$$

$$\|f\mid W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)\|=\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z\,\in\,\Omega}|f(z)|+\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z\,\in\,\Omega}|\nabla f(z)|.$$

The seminormed Sobolev space $L^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1\le p\le\infty$, is the space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite seminorm given by

$$\|f\mid L^{1,p}(\Omega)\|=\Big(\iint\limits_{\Omega}|\nabla f(z)|^p\,dxdy\Big)^{1/p},\ 1\le p<\infty,$$

$$\|f\mid L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)\|=\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z\,\in\,\Omega}|\nabla f(z)|.$$

The weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega,h)$, $1\le p<\infty$, is the space of all locally integrable functions with the finite norm

$$\|f\mid L^p(\Omega,h)\|=\Big(\iint\limits_{\Omega}|f(z)|^p h(z)\,dxdy\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Here the weight $h:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function.

We define the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega,h,1)$, $1\le p<\infty$, as the normed space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with the finite norm given by

$$\|f\mid W^{1,p}(\Omega,h,1)\|=\|f\mid L^p(\Omega,h)\|+\|\nabla f\mid L^p(\Omega)\|.$$

We denote

$$f_{\mathbb{D},h}=\frac{1}{m_h(\mathbb{D})}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} f(z)h(z)\,dxdy=g_\Omega=\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\iint\limits_{\Omega} g(w)\,dudv,$$

$$f(z)=g(\varphi(z)),\ \ w=\varphi(z).$$

Here

$$m_h(\mathbb{D}) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h(z)\ dxdy = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} J_\varphi(z)\ dxdy = |\Omega|.$$

Recall that for conformal regular domains for any $2 \le q < \infty$ the Sobolev type inequality

$$\|g - g_\Omega \mid L^q(\Omega)\| \le C(q)\|\nabla f \mid L^2(\Omega)\|$$

holds for any function $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (see [21]).

Using this inequality we prove the following weighted Poincaré inequality for the unit disc:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a conformal α-regular domain and $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ be a conformal mapping.*

Then for any function $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1)$ the inequality

$$\|f - f_{\mathbb{D},h} \mid L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)\| \le K^*\|f \mid L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})\|$$

holds.

Here h is the hyperbolic (conformal) weight defined by equality (1.5)*. The exact constant K^* is equal to the exact constant in the inequality*

$$\|g - g_\Omega \mid L^2(\Omega)\| \le K\|g \mid L^{1,2}(\Omega)\|\,, \qquad \forall g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)\,.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi^{-1} : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$ is a conformal mapping, the composition operator

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* : L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \to L^{1,2}(\Omega),\ \ (\varphi^{-1})^*(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1},$$

is an isometry [19].

Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$, then $g = (\varphi^{-1})^*(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^1(\Omega)$. So, because Ω is a conformal regular domain, then for the function $g \in C^1(\Omega)$ the Poincaré inequality

$$\|g - g_\Omega \mid L^2(\Omega)\| \le K^*\|g \mid L^{1,2}(\Omega)\| \tag{2.1}$$

holds with the exact constant $K^* = \sqrt{1/\lambda_2[\Omega]}$. Hence, using the " transfer " diagram [17] we obtain

$$\|f - f_{\mathbb{D},h} \mid L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)\| = \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 h(z)\ dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 J(z,\varphi)(z)\ dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\iint\limits_{\Omega} |g(w) - g_\Omega|^2\ dudw\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\le K^*\left(\iint\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla g(w))|^2\ dudw\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = K^*\left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f(z))|^2\ dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = K^*\|f \mid L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})\|.$$

Approximating an arbitrary function $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1)$ by functions in the space $C^1(\mathbb{D})$ we obtain that the inequality

$$\|f - f_{\mathbb{D},h} \mid L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)\| \le K^*\|f \mid L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})\|$$

holds for any function $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1)$.

□

By Theorem 2.1 it immediately follows that the spectral weighted eigenvalue problem (1.6) with hyperbolic (conformal) weights h in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem in the domain $\Omega = \varphi(\mathbb{D})$ (see also, for example [25]) and

$$\lambda_n[h] = \lambda_n[\Omega], \ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence the spectrum of the weighted eigenvalue problem (1.6) with hyperbolic (conformal) weights h is discrete and can be written in the form of a non-decreasing sequence

$$0 = \lambda_1[h] < \lambda_2[h] \leq ... \leq \lambda_n[h] \leq ...\,,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as its multiplicity.

For weighted eigenvalues (eigenvalues in Ω) we have also the following properties:

(i)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n[h] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n[\Omega] = \infty\,,$$

(ii) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(2.3)

$$\lambda_n[\Omega] = \lambda_n[h] = \inf_{\substack{L\subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)\\ \dim L = n}} \sup_{\substack{f\in L\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 \ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\Omega} |f|^2 \ dxdy} = \inf_{\substack{L\subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D},h,1)\\ \dim L = n}} \sup_{\substack{f\in L\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \ dxdy}$$

(Min-Max Principle), and

$$\lambda_n[h] = \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \ dxdy} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$M_n = \text{span}\,\{\psi_1[h], \psi_2[h], ...\psi_n[h]\}$$

and $\{\psi_k[h]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal (in the space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1)$) set of eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k[h]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

(iii) $\lambda_1[h] = 0$ and $\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_h(\mathbb{D})}}$. For $n \geq 2$ alongside with (2.4) we have

$$\lambda_n[h] = \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f - f_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 h(z) \ dxdy}. \tag{2.5}$$

(It may happen that the above fraction takes the form $\frac{0}{0}$. In this case we assume that $\frac{0}{0} = 0$.)

Indeed, let

$$\tilde{M}_n = \text{span}\,\{\psi_2[h], ...\psi_n[h]\}.$$

Then, since $f \in M_n$ has the form $f = g - c$ where $g \in \tilde{M}_n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, by (2.4)

$$\lambda_n[h] = \sup_{\substack{g\in\tilde{M}_n\\ g\neq 0}} \sup_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla(g-c)|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |g-c|^2 h(z)\ dxdy} = \sup_{\substack{g\in\tilde{M}_n\\ g\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla g|^2\ dxdy}{\inf\limits_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |g-c|^2 h(z)\ dxdy}$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{g\in\tilde{M}_n\\ g\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla g|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |g-g_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 h(z)\ dxdy} = \sup_{\substack{g\in\tilde{M}_n\\ g\neq 0}} \sup_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla(g-c)|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |(g-c)-(g-c)_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 h(z)\ dxdy}$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |f-f_{\mathbb{D},h}|^2 h(z)\ dxdy},$$

because $g - g_{\mathbb{D},h} = (g-c) - (g-c)_{\mathbb{D},h}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. The $L^{1,2}$-seminorm estimates

We consider two weighted eigenvalue problems in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z) \cdot \nabla\overline{g(z)})\ dxdy = \lambda \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(z) f(z)\overline{g(z)}\ dxdy\,, \quad \forall g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h_1, 1).$$

and

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z) \cdot \nabla\overline{g(z)})\ dxdy = \lambda \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z) f(z)\overline{g(z)}\ dxdy\,, \quad \forall g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h_2, 1).$$

The aim of this section is to estimate the "distance" between weighted eigenvalues $\lambda_n[h_1]$ and $\lambda_n[h_2]$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disc and let h_1, h_2 be conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that*

(3.1)

$$\max\left\{ \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)||f - f_{\mathbb{D},h_1}|^2\ dxdy, \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)||f - f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy \right\} \leq B \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy,\ \forall f \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2]| \leq \frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1 + B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}} < B\tilde{c}_n\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\tilde{c}_n = \max\{\lambda_n^2[h_1], \lambda_n^2[h_2]\}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let

$$M_n^{(1)} = \operatorname{span}\{\psi_1[h_1], \ldots \psi_n[h_1]\}.$$

Since

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(z)|f - f_{\mathbb{D},h_1}|^2\ dxdy = \inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(z)|f-c|^2\ dxdy \leq \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(z)|f - f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy,$$

by (2.5) we get

$$\lambda_n[h_1] = \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(x)|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_1}|^2\ dxdy} \geq \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(x)|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy}.$$

Hence, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n[h_1] &\geq \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy + \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z)-h_2(z)||f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy}\\ &\geq \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy + B\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_2}|^2\ dxdy = \inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f-c|^2\ dxdy \leq \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n[h_1] &\geq \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy + B\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}\\ &= \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B\frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy}}\\ &\geq \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy} \cdot \inf_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{1}{1+B\frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy}}\\ &= \sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B\sup\limits_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the function $F(t) = t/(1+Bt)$ is non-decreasing on $[0,\infty)$ and by (2.3)

$$\sup_{\substack{f\in M_n^{(1)}\\ f\neq 0}} \frac{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2\ dxdy}{\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2\ dxdy} \geq \lambda_n[h_2],$$

it follows that

$$\lambda_n[h_1] \geq \frac{\lambda_n[h_2]}{1+B\lambda_n[h_2]} = \lambda_n[h_2] - \frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_2]}{1+B\lambda_n[h_2]}.$$

Hence

$$\lambda_n[h_1]-\lambda_n[h_2]\geq -\frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_2]}{1+B\lambda_n[h_2]}\geq -\frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1+B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}}. \tag{3.4}$$

For similar reasons

$$\lambda_n[h_2]-\lambda_n[h_1]\geq -\frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_1]}{1+B\lambda_n[h_1]}$$

or

$$\lambda_n[h_1]-\lambda_n[h_2]\leq \frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_1]}{1+B\lambda_n[h_1]}\leq \frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1+B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) imply inequality (3.2). □

Now we estimate the constant B in Lemma 3.1 in terms of " vicinity " between weights.

By Theorem 2.1

$$\|f-f_{\mathbb{D},h_k}\mid L^q(\mathbb{D},h_k)\|\leq C_k(q)\|\nabla f\mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\| \tag{3.6}$$

for any function $f\in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D},h_k,1)$, where h_k, $k=1,2$, are the conformal weights defined be equality (1.5). Here $C_k(q)$ are the best possible constants in these inequalities, that depend on α only.

Lemma 3.2. *Let h_1, h_2 be conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$d_s(h_1,h_2):=\|(h_1-h_2)(\min\{h_1,h_2\})^{\frac{1-s}{s}}\mid L^s(\mathbb{D})\| \tag{3.7}$$
$$=\left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}(h_1-h_2)^s(\min\{h_1,h_2\})^{1-s}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}<\infty$$

for some $1<s\leq\infty$.

Then inequality (3.1) *holds with the constant*

$$B=\Big[C\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big)\Big]^2 d_s(h_1,h_2)\;C\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big)=\max\left\{C_1\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big),C_2\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big)\right\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. By the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality (3.6) we get for $k=1,2$

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}|h_1(z)-h_2(z)||f(z)-f_{\mathbb{D},h_k}|^2\,dxdy$$
$$=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}|h_1(z)-h_2(z)|h_k^{\frac{1-s}{s}}(z)h_k^{\frac{s-1}{s}}(z)|f(z)-f_{\mathbb{D},h_k}|^2\,dxdy$$
$$\leq\|\,(h_1-h_2)\,h_k^{\frac{1-s}{s}}\mid L^s(\mathbb{D})\|\left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}h_k(z)|f(z)-f_{\mathbb{D},h_k}|^{\frac{2s}{s-1}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}$$
$$\leq\Big[C_k\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big)\Big]^2 d_s(h_1,h_2)\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}|\nabla f(z)|^2dxdy\,.$$

□

By the two previous lemmas we get immediately the main result for the difference of weighted eigenvalues:

Theorem 3.3. *Let h_1, h_2 be conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that $d_s(h_1,h_2)<\infty$ for some $s>1$.*

Then, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$|\lambda_n[h_1]-\lambda_n[h_2]|\le \tilde{c}_n\Big[C\Big(\frac{2s}{s-1}\Big)\Big]^2 d_s(h_1,h_2)\,.$$

4. On "distances" $d_s(h_1,h_2)$ for hyperbolic (conformal) weights h_1,h_2

Let us analyze " distances" $d_s(h_1,h_2)$ for hyperbolic (conformal) weights.

Recall that hyperbolic (conformal) weights $h_1(z),h_2(z)$ for bounded simply connected planar domains are Jacobians $J_{\varphi_1}(z)$, $J_{\varphi_2}(z)$ of conformal homeomorphisms

$$\varphi_1:\mathbb{D}\to\Omega_1,\ \ \varphi_1:\mathbb{D}\to\Omega_2.$$

Since Ω_1,Ω_2 are bounded domains the Jacobians $J_{\varphi_1}(z)$, $J_{\varphi_2}(z)$ are integrable, i. e. $\varphi_1',\varphi_2'\in L^2(\mathbb{D})$. An example of the unit disc without the interval $(0,1)$ on the horizontal axis demonstrates that for general simply connected domains Ω the Jacobians of conformal homeomorphisms $\varphi:\mathbb{D}\to\Omega$ need not be integrable in a power greater than 1. Hence the integrability of Jacobians to the power $s>1$ is possible only under additional assumptions on Ω.

In [20] it was proved that such integrability is possible only for domains with finite geodesic diameter. Hence, for $s>1$, the quantity $d_s(h_1,h_2)$ is not necessary defined for all pairs of conformal weights h_1,h_2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varphi_1:\mathbb{D}\to\Omega_1$, $\varphi_2:\mathbb{D}\to\Omega_2$ be conformal homeomorphisms and h_1,h_2 be the corresponding conformal weights. Suppose that for some $2<p<\infty$*

$$E_p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)=\left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\max\left\{\frac{|\varphi_1'(z)|^p}{|\varphi_2'(z)|^{p-2}},\frac{|\varphi_2'(z)|^p}{|\varphi_1'(z)|^{p-2}}\right\}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty.$$

Then for $s=\frac{2p}{p+2}$

$$d_s(h_1,h_2)\le 2E_p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)\cdot\||\varphi_1'|-|\varphi_2'|\mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\|<\infty. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By the definitions of h_1,h_2 and $d_s(h_1,h_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}[d_s(h_1,h_2)]^s&=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}|h_1(z)-h_2(z)|^s\left(\min\{h_1(z),h_2(z)\}\right)^{1-s}dxdy\\&=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left||\varphi_1'(z)|^2-|\varphi_2'(z)|^2\right|^s\left(\min\{|\varphi_1'(z)|,|\varphi_2'(z)|\}\right)^{2(1-s)}dxdy\\&=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}||\varphi_1'(z)|+|\varphi_2'(z)||^s\left(\min\{|\varphi_1'(z)|,|\varphi_2'(z)|\}\right)^{2(1-s)}||\varphi_1'(z)|-|\varphi_2'(z)||^s\,dxdy.\end{aligned}$$

Applying to the last integral the Hölder inequality with $r = \frac{2}{s}$ ($1 \le r < 2$ because $1 < s \le 2$) and $r' = \frac{r}{r-1} = \frac{2}{2-s}$ we obtain

$$[d_s(h_1,h_2)]^s \le \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} ||\varphi_1'(z)| + |\varphi_2'(z)||^{\frac{2s}{2-s}} \left(\min\{|\varphi_1'(z)|, |\varphi_2'(z)|\}\right)^{\frac{4(1-s)}{2-s}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{2-s}{2}}$$

$$\times \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|\varphi_1'(z)| - |\varphi_2'(z)|\right)^2 dxdy \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}$$

$$\le 2^s \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\max\left\{|\varphi_1'(z)|, |\varphi_2'(z)|\right\}^{\frac{2s}{2-s}}}{\min\left\{|\varphi_1'(z)|, |\varphi_2'(z)|\right\}^{\frac{4(s-1)}{2-s}}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{2-s}{2}} \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\|^s.$$

Since $s = \frac{2p}{p+2}$ we have

$$d_s(h_1,h_2) \le 2 \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\max\left\{|\varphi_1'(z)|, |\varphi_2'(z)|\right\}^p}{\min\left\{|\varphi_1'(z)|, |\varphi_2'(z)|\right\}^{p-2}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\| \tag{4.2}$$

$$= 2 \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \max\left\{ \frac{|\varphi_1'(z)|^p}{|\varphi_2'(z)|^{p-2}}, \frac{|\varphi_2'(z)|^p}{|\varphi_1'(z)|^{p-2}} \right\} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\|.$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *Two conformal α-regular domains $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ represent a conformal α-regular pair if and only if*

$$E_\alpha(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) = \left(\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \max\left\{ \frac{|\varphi_1'(z)|^\alpha}{|\varphi_2'(z)|^{\alpha-2}}, \frac{|\varphi_2'(z)|^\alpha}{|\varphi_1'(z)|^{\alpha-2}} \right\} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

Proof. The condition $E_\alpha(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) < \infty$ means that domains $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D}), \Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ represent conformal α-regular pair. Indeed, a conformal mapping $\psi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ can be written as a composition

$$\psi(w) = \varphi_2(\varphi_1^{-1}(w)).$$

Then

$$\psi'(w) = \varphi_2'(\varphi_1^{-1}(w)) \cdot (\varphi_1^{-1})'(w).$$

Using the change of variable formula we obtain

$$\iint\limits_{\Omega_1} |\psi'(w)|^\alpha \, dudv = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\psi'|^\alpha(\varphi_1(z)) J_{\varphi_1}(z) \, dxdy$$

$$= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi_2'(z_)|^\alpha |(\varphi_1^{-1})'|^\alpha(\varphi_1(z)) |\varphi_1'(z)|^2 \, dxdy = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi_2'(z_)|^\alpha |\varphi_1'(z)|^{-\alpha} |\varphi_1'(z)|^2 \, dxdy$$

$$= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi_2'(z_)|^\alpha |\varphi_1'(z)|^{2-\alpha} \, dxdy.$$

The similar calculation is correct for the inverse mapping $\psi^{-1} : \Omega_2 \to \Omega_1$. □

Note that integral estimate (4.1) can be rewritten in terms of the measure variation.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega_1$, $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega_2$ be conformal homeomorphisms. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\| \\ &\leq \Big(\left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))\right] + \left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))\right] \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

where the sets $\mathbb{D}^+$ and $\mathbb{D}^-$ are defined by equalities (1.4).

Proof. By using the elementary inequality $(a-b)^2 \leq |a^2 - b^2|$ for any $a, b \geq 0$ and the equality $|\varphi_1'(z)|^2 = J_\varphi$ for conformal homeomorphisms we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|\varphi_1'(z)| - |\varphi_2'(z)|\right)^2 dxdy \\ &\qquad \leq \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi_1'(z)|^2 - |\varphi_2'(z)|^2 \right| dxdy = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} |J_{\varphi_1}(z) - J_{\varphi_2}(z)|\, dxdy \\ &\qquad = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^+} \left(J_{\varphi_1}(z) - J_{\varphi_2}(z)\right) dxdy + \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^-} \left(J_{\varphi_2}(z) - J_{\varphi_1}(z)\right) dxdy \\ &= \Big(\left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))\right] + \left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))\right] \Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

By combining Lemma 4.1, Theorem 3.3, equality (2.2), by applying the triangle inequality and taking into account that $\frac{2s}{s-1} = \frac{4p}{p-2}$ for $s = \frac{2p}{p+2}$, we obtain the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ be a conformal α-regular pair. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq 2c_n \left[C\left(\frac{4\alpha}{\alpha-2}\right)\right]^2 E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D})\|, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$c_n = \max\{\lambda_n^2[\Omega_1], \lambda_n^2[\Omega_2]\}. \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 follows the estimate in terms of the measure variation:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq 2c_n \left[C\left(\frac{4\alpha}{\alpha-2}\right)\right]^2 E_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \times \\ &\times \Big(\left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))\right] + \left[\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))\right] \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

5. Quasidiscs

Now we describe a rather wide class of planar domains for which there exist conformal mappings with Jacobians of the class $L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $p > 1$, i.e. with complex derivatives of the class $L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $p > 2$.

Definition 5.1. A homeomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ between planar domains is called K-quasiconformal if it preserves orientation, belongs to the Sobolev class $W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(\Omega_1)$ and its directional derivatives ∂_α satisfy the distortion inequality

$$\max_\alpha |\partial_\alpha \varphi| \le K \min_\alpha |\partial_\alpha \varphi| \ \text{ a.e. in } \ \Omega_1 \, .$$

Infinitesimally, quasiconformal homeomorphisms transform circles to ellipses with eccentricity uniformly bounded by K. If $K = 1$ we recover conformal homeomorphisms, while for $K > 1$ planar quasiconformal mappings need not be smooth.

Definition 5.2. A domain Ω is called a K-quasidisc if it is the image of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ under a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself.

It is well known that the boundary of any K-quasidisc Ω admits a K^2-quasiconformal reflection [14] and thus, for example, any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ can be extended to a K^2-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the whole plane to itself.

The boundaries of quasidiscs are called quasicircles. It is known that there are quasicircles for which no segment has finite length. The Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles was first investigated by F. W. Gehring and J. Väisälä [15], who proved that it can take all values in the interval $[1, 2)$. S. Smirnov proved recently [28] that the Hausdorff dimension of any K-quasicircle is at most $1 + k^2$, where $k = (K - 1)/(K + 1)$.

Ahlfors's 3-point condition [1] gives a complete geometric characterization of quasicircles: a Jordan curve γ in the plane is a quasicircle if and only if for each two points a, b in γ the (smaller) arc between them has diameter comparable with $|a-b|$. This condition is easily checked for the snowflake. On the other hand, every quasicircle can be obtained by an explicit snowflake-type construction (see [27]).

For any planar K-quasiconformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ the following sharp result is known: $J(z, \varphi) \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega_1)$ for any $p < \frac{K}{K-1}$ ([16, 2]).

Proposition 5.3. *Any conformal mapping $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ of K-quasidiscs Ω_1, Ω_2 belongs to $L^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ for any $1 \le p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$.*

Proof. Any conformal mapping $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ can be extended to a K^2 quasiconformal homeomorphism ψ of the whole plane to the whole plane by reflection. Since the domain Ω_2 is bounded, ψ belongs to the class $L^p(\Omega_1)$ for any $1 \le p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$ ([16], [2]). Therefore φ belongs to the same class. □

Denote, for $K \ge 1$, by A_K the class of all K-quasidiscs. Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 5.3 imply the following statement.

Theorem 5.4. *For any $K \ge 1$ there exists $p > 2$ and $M > 0$ such that, for any quasidiscs $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in A_K$ and conformal mappings $\varphi_k : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega_k$, k=1,2, $|\varphi_1'|, |\varphi_2'| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \le 2c_n M E_p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \| |\varphi_1'| - |\varphi_2'| \mid L^2(\mathbb{D}) \| \, ,$$

where c_n is defined by equality (1.3).

Proof. Since $\frac{2K^2}{K^2-1} > 2$, by Proposition 5.3 there exists $2 < p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$, say $p = \frac{2K^2-1}{K^2-1}$, such that $|\varphi_1'|, |\varphi_2'| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $E_p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) < \infty$. Therefore, by Theorem

1.4 the statement follows with, say $p = \frac{2K^2-1}{K^2-1}$ and

$$M = \left[C\left(\frac{4p}{p-2}\right)\right]^2 = \left[C\left(4(2K^2-1)\right)\right]^2.$$

□

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors, Quasiconformal reflections. Acta Math. 109 (1963), 291-301,

[2] K. Astala, Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings. Acta Math. 173 (1994), 37–60

[3] G. Barbatis, V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Stability estimates for resolvents, eigenvalues, and eigenfunctions of elliptic operators on variable domains. Around research of Vladimir Maz'ya. II. International Mathematical Series, Springer, New York 11 (2009), 23–60.

[4] G. Barbatis, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability estimates for elliptic operators subject to domain transformations with non-uniformly bounded gradients, Mathematika 58 (2012), 324–348.

[5] A. F. Beardon, D. Minda, The hyperbolic metric and geometric function theory. Quasiconformal mappings and their applications, Narosa, New Delhi (2007), 9–56.

[6] J. Becker, C. Pommerenke, Hölder continuity of conformal maps with quasiconformal extensions, Complex Variable Theory Appl. 10 (1988), 267-272.

[7] V. I. Burenkov, Spectral stability estimates for the eigenvalues of elliptic operators. Proc. Inst. Math. Mech., Nat. Acad. Sci. Azerbaijan 40 (2014), Special Issue, 108-123.

[8] V. I. Burenkov, V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Conformal spectral stability for the Dirichlet-Laplace operator, Mathematische Nachrichten 288 (2015), no. 16, 1822-1833.

[9] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability of Dirichlet second order uniformly elliptic operators. J. Differential Equations 244 (2008), 1712–1740.

[10] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability of higher order uniformly elliptic differential operators. Sobolev spaces in mathematics. II. International Mathematical Series, Springer, New York 9 (2009), 69–102.

[11] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability estimates via the Lebesgue measure of domains for higher order elliptic operators. Revista Matemática Compultense 25 (2012), 435–457.

[12] V. I . Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Spectral stability of non-negative self-adjoint operators. Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. 15 (2006), 76–111 (in Russian). English transl. in Journal of Mathematical Sciences 149 (2006).

[13] E. B. Davies, Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 129 (2000), 165–178.

[14] F. W. Gehring, K. Hag, Reflections on reflections in quasidisks, Report. Univ. Jyväskylä, 83 (2001), 81–90.

[15] F. W. Gehring, J. Väisälä, Hausdorff dimension and quasiconformal mappings, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 6 (1973), 504–512.

[16] V. M. Gol'dshtein, The degree of summability of generalized derivatives of quasiconformal homeomorphisms. Sibirsk. Matem. Zh. 22 (1981), no. 6, 22–40 (in Russian). (English transl.: Siberian Math. J. 22 (1981), no. 6, 821–836).

[17] V. Gol'dshtein, L. Gurov, Applications of change of variables operators for exact embedding theorems, Integral Equations Operator Theory 19 (1994), 1–24.

[18] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Brennan's conjecture for composition operators on Sobolev spaces. Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 4, 35–43.

[19] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Conformal weights and Sobolev embeddings, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 193 (2013), 202–210.

[20] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Sobolev homeomorphisms and Brennan's conjecture, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 14 (2014), 247–256.

[21] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, On the First Eigenvalues of Free Vibrating Membrane in Conformal Regular Domains, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.

[22] P. Koskela, J. Onninen, J. T. Tyson, Quasihyperbolic boundary conditions and capacity: Poincaré domains Math. Ann., 323 (2002), 811–830.

[23] P. D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, A global Lipschitz continuity result for a domain dependent Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator. J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), 64–108.

[24] P. D. Lamberti, M. Perin, On the sharpneess of a certain spectral stability estimate for the Dirichlet Laplacian. Eurasian Math. J. 1 (2010), no. 1, 111–122.

[25] R. S. Laugesen, C. Morpurgo, Extremals for eigenvalues of Laplacians under conformal mapping. J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), 64–108.

[26] M. M. H. Pang, Approximation of ground state eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Dirichlet Laplacians, Bull. London Math. Soc. 29 (1997), 720–730.

[27] S. Rohde. Quasicircles modulo bilipschitz maps. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 17 (2001), no. 3, 643–659.

[28] S. Smirnov. Dimension of quasicircles. Acta Math., 205 (2010), no. 1, 189–197.

[29] A. Ukhlov, On mappings, which induce embeddings of Sobolev spaces, Siberian Math. J. 34 (1993), 185–192.

[30] S. K. Vodop'yanov, A. D. Ukhlov, Superposition operators in Sobolev spaces. Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ) 46 (2002), no. 4, 11–33.